# ORIGINAL RESEARCH Relevance Analysis of TPM2 and Clinicopathological Characteristics in Breast Cancer

Xingchen Zhou, Zhishuang Li, Huan Chen, Meng Jiao, Chengjun Zhou, Hui Li

Department of Pathology, The Second Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, 250012, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Hui Li, Department of Pathology, The Second Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, No. 247, Beiyuan Street, Jinan City, Shandong Province, 250012, People's Republic of China, Email 201990000019@sdu.edu.cn

Background: The function of tropomyosin 2 (TPM2) in breast cancer is still far understudied. In this study, we aim to explore the roles of TPM2 in breast cancer progression.

Methods: This research included 155 breast cancer tissues. The expression of TPM2 was analyzed by immunohistochemical staining and grading. The mRNA expression of TPM2 in pan-cancer was analyzed with The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data plate form. The differential expression of TPM2 protein and the differential promoter methylation level of TPM2 between breast cancer tissues and normal breast tissues were analyzed by the UALCAN online database. The relationship between TPM2 and signaling pathways was interpreted by Gene Ontology (GO), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) pathway enrichment analyses. The survival curve of TPM2 was analyzed across the Kaplan-Meier plotter online database. Furthermore, the relationship between TPM2 expression and infiltrating macrophages was validated through in vitro co-culture experiments.

Results: TPM2 expression was significantly down-regulated in breast cancer samples. In addition, TPM2 expression was correlated with lymph node metastasis and high-grade histopathological morphology. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve indicated that TPM2 expression could well distinguish between normal breast tissue and breast cancer tissue. TPM2 may have potential value in breast cancer diagnosis. Bioinformatics analysis illustrated that TPM2 was mainly involved in extracellular matrix organization, collagen fibril organization, cell junction assembly, focal adhesion, cAMP signaling pathway, estrogen signaling pathway, Wnt signaling pathway, and adaptive immune system. TPM2 expression was correlated with immune infiltrating cells and immune checkpoint molecules. Our in vitro co-culture experiments showed that the M2 macrophages could upregulate the expression of TPM2. **Conclusion:** TPM2 may play key roles in breast cancer occurrence and development, especially in cancer metastasis. TPM2 may be a potential biomarker for breast cancer diagnosis.

Keywords: breast cancer, TPM2, bioinformatics, cytoskeleton

#### Introduction

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease and is the most common cancer among females worldwide.<sup>1</sup> Metastasis is the main cause of cancer-associated death, which involves multiple processes such as cell migration and cell aggregation. Metastasis is a slowly developing process due to genetic and epigenetic modifications that enable tumor cells to acquire the ability to survive, migrate, and adapt to new microenvironments, and eventually form macroscopic lesions.<sup>2,3</sup> Previous researches indicated that tropomyosins (TPMs) inhibit tumor metastasis in several types of tumors, such as prostate cancer, breast cancer, and brain tumor.<sup>4–6</sup> Tropomyosins (TPMs) are a family of actin-binding proteins, which have obvious tissue specificity. The main functions of TPMs were integrating and stabilizing actin microfilaments.<sup>7,8</sup> The human TPMs family has four members, including TPM1, TPM2, TPM3, and TPM4. Previous research has reported that TPMs play vital roles in regulating cell proliferation, migration, apoptosis, vesicle transport, and cytokinesis.<sup>9,10</sup> The abnormal expression of TPMs may result in tumorigenesis and tumor development.<sup>11</sup> As a tumor suppressor gene, TPM1 was down-regulated in several different types of cancers and suppressed cancer progression, including bladder carcinoma,<sup>12</sup> lung cancer,<sup>13</sup> gastric cancer,<sup>14</sup> and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.<sup>15</sup> Inversely, TPM3 has been reported as an oncogene. Abnormally high expression of TPM3 could facilitate hepatocellular

carcinoma progression.<sup>16,17</sup> TPM3 promotes cell proliferation and invasion through epithelial–mesenchymal transition in hepatocellular carcinoma.<sup>18</sup> It was reported that the high expression of TPM4 was associated with tumorigenesis and tumor development in several malignant tumors, such as ovarian cancer,<sup>19</sup> hepatic carcinogenesis,<sup>20</sup> and colon cancer.<sup>21</sup>

TPM2 (also known as beta-tropomyosin) is mainly expressed in skeletal muscle cells, fibroblasts, and smooth muscles. In the normal physiological processes, TPM2 participates in cell movement and muscle regulation.<sup>22</sup> Although former researches reported that abnormal TPM2 expression was mostly related to rare myopathies, recent studies also proved that TPM2 was involved in tumor development.<sup>23</sup> The function and the underlying molecular mechanism of TPM2 in breast cancer are still unclear. In the present study, we explore the role of TPM2 in breast cancer by clinicopathological parameters. Furthermore, we excavated the value of TPM2 expression in breast cancer diagnosis by ROC curve analysis. We also preliminarily explored the potential molecular mechanisms by GO/KEGG analysis and GSEA analysis. This study provides a theoretical foundation for exploring the mechanisms of breast cancer occurrence and development.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Case Collection

We collected 155 breast cancer patients who underwent radical surgery and did not receive any chemotherapy from the Second Hospital of Shandong University during 2018. We gathered 155 breast cancer tissues and 51 normal adjacent breast tissues from these patients. The clinicopathological parameters of these patients included age, gender, tumor size, molecular subtypes, histological grade, lymph node metastasis, status of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), HER2, and Ki-67. In the patient cohort, there were 107 patients (69.0%) younger than 60 years old, and 48 patients (31.0%) elder than 60 years old. Breast cancer tissues in histological grades I and II accounted for 60.0%; and breast cancer tissues in histological grades I and II were considered to have low-grade histologic morphology. Histological grade III was considered to have a high-grade histologic morphology. Breast cancer tumors with size less than 2.0 cm, more than 2 cm but less than 5.0 cm, more than 5 cm, accounted for 42.6%, 55.4%, and 2.0% separately. Patients with lymph node metastasis occupied 42.6%, and patients without lymph node metastasis occupied 57.4%. Furthermore, the expression level of HER2 was as follows: 0: 17.4%; 1+: 25.8%; 2+: 37.4%, and 3+: 19.4%. In the 155 patients, 23 patients (14.8%) belonged to Luminal A subtype, 108 patients (69.7%) belonged to Luminal B subtype.

#### Immunohistochemistry

Breast cancer tissue blocks were fixed in phosphate-buffered formalin and then embedded in paraffin. The paraffin slides were at a thickness of 4  $\mu$ m. The TPM2 antibody (PS03588) was purchased from Abmart, Shanghai, China. The immunohistochemical staining and grading was performed as described previously.<sup>24</sup> The staining results were independently assessed by two senior pathologists in a blind manner. The intensity of TPM2 staining was graded into four levels: no cellular staining was considered 0, weak staining was considered as 1 point, moderate staining was considered as 2 points, and diffuse strong positivity was considered as 3 points. The range of tumor staining was graded into four grades: 1–25% was judged as 1 point, 26–50% was judged as 2 points, 51–75% was judged as 3 points, and 76–100% was judged as 4 points. The IHC score was equal to the intensity value multiplied by the staining range level. IHC score < 6 was defined as a low TPM2 expression, and IHC score  $\geq$  6 was defined as a high TPM2 expression (6 was the median value of IHC score). More than 1% cell nuclear staining was defined as positive for hormone receptors (ER/PR) in breast cancer.<sup>25</sup> The IHC score of HER2 was graded on a 4-points scale from 0 to 3+. HER2 testing reports should be combined with IHC and in situ hybridization (ISH). HER2-negative is defined as IHC 0, 1+, or 2+/ISH not-amplified. HER2-positive is defined as IHC 3+ or IHC 2+/ISH amplified.<sup>26</sup>

## The Molecular Subtypes of Breast Cancer

The breast cancer cases were divided into four subtypes: Luminal A (ER and/or PR positive, Ki-67 <14% and HER2 negative), Luminal B (ER and/or PR positive, Ki-67 ≥14% and/or HER2 positive), HER2-enriched (HER2 overexpressed or amplified, ER and PR negative), and triple negative (ER, PR, and HER2 negative).

We used the TCGA database (<u>https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov</u>), which is a large database that includes 33 types of cancer related data.<sup>27</sup> We analyzed the following information: the mRNA expression of TPM2 in the pan-cancer, the differential expression of TPM2 between breast cancer tissues and normal breast tissues, and the relationship between TPM2 and immune infiltrating cells in breast cancer. The expression level of TPM2 protein and the promoter methylation level of TPM2 in breast cancer were studied with the UALCAN online database.

#### Functional Enrichment Analysis and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis

Gene Ontology (GO) gene enrichment and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analysis were downloaded from the TCGA database. Calibrated P values of less than 0.05 and fold change (log2) of more than 1.5 were considered statistically significant. The analysis was plotted by R packages (v3.6.3). In Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA), the gene sets with p<0.05 and false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.25 were considered as obviously enriched.

#### In Vitro Co-Culture Experiments

The THP-1 monocytes were differentiated to M0 macrophages by adding with 100 ng/mL PMA (phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate) (MCE, New Jersey, USA) and incubating for 48 hr. Then, the M0 macrophages were incubated with 20 ng/mL IL4 and 20ng/mL IL3 for 48 hr to get M2 macrophages.<sup>28,29</sup> The co-culture model of macrophages and breast cancer cells used the non-contact co-culture transwell system (Corning, New York, USA). After 48 hr, the macrophages and the breast cancer cells were collected for subsequent RT-qPCR experiments. The primer sequences for TPM2 RT-qPCR were as follows: (forward) 5'-AAGGGGACAGAGGATGAG-3' and (reverse) 5'-CTTTCTCAGCCTCCTCCA-3'.

#### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software. The association between the expression of TPM2 and clinicopathological parameters was performed by the  $\chi^2$  test and Fisher's exact tests. To compare the expression of TPM2 in different molecular subtypes of breast cancer, the ANOVA test was used. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were drawn, and the area under the curves (AUC) was calculated to assess the diagnostic value of TPM2. The *p* value of less than 0.05 was defined as statistically significant.

# Results

## Bioinformatics Analysis of the TPM2 Expression in Breast Cancer

We analyzed the RNA-seq data of TPM2 from the database of TCGA. In pan-cancers, the expression of TPM2 is altered abnormally. The data showed that the mRNA expression level of TPM2 was down-regulated in 20 cancer types and up-regulated in nine cancer types compared to normal tissues (Figure 1A). The paired and unpaired analysis suggested that TPM2 mRNA expression in breast cancer tissues was significantly lower than that of normal breast tissues (Figure 1B and C). Moreover, we analyzed the TPM2 protein expression alterations and the promoter methylation level of TPM2 through the UALCAN online database. Consistent with the TPM2 mRNA expression changes, the protein expression level of TPM2 was significantly downregulated in breast cancer tissues (Figure 1D). Significantly, the promoter methylation level of TPM2 in breast cancer tissues was much higher than that in normal breast tissue (Figure 1E). In breast cancer tissues, TPM2 may be downregulated by promoter methylation. Based on TCGA data, we used ROC curve analysis to detect the diagnostic accuracy of TPM2 for breast cancer. The AUC (Area Under Curve) was 0.770 (Figure 1F). These results indicate that TPM2 may function as a useful index for breast cancer diagnosis. Furthermore, breast cancer patients with lower TPM2 expression had a poorer prognosis (Figure 1G).

# The Validation of TPM2 Expression in Breast Cancer Samples and the Correlation Between TPM2 Expression Level and Clinicopathological Parameters

We collected 155 female breast cancer cases from the Second Hospital of Shandong University. Using IHC, we detected the expression of TPM2 in breast cancer samples. The TPM2 expression was mainly located in cytoplasm. In normal



**Figure 1** The RNA-seq data of TCGA databases and survival analysis of TPM2 in breast cancer: (**A**) The mRNA expression of TPM2 in cancer tissues compared with normal tissues in Pan-cancer: (**\***P < 0.05; **\*\*\***P < 0.001; ns, no significance; ACC, Adrenocortical carcinoma; BLCA, Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma; BRCA, Breast invasive carcinoma; CESC, Cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma; CHOL, Cholangio carcinoma; COAD, Colon adenocarcinoma; DLBC, Lymphoid Neoplasm Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma; ESCA, Esophageal carcinoma; GBM, Glioblastoma multiforme; HNSC, Head and Neck squamous cell carcinoma; KICH, Kidney Chromophobe; KIRC, Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma; KIRP, Kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma; LAML, Acute Myeloid Leukemia; LGG, Brain Lower Grade Glioma; LIHC, Liver hepatocellular carcinoma; IUAD, Lung adenocarcinoma; PLSD, Lung squamous cell carcinoma; OV, Ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma; PAAD, Pancreatic adenocarcinoma; TGCT, Testicular Germ Cell Tumors; THCA, Thyroid carcinoma; THYM, Thymoma; UCEC, Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma; UCS, Uterine Carcinoma; CM, Skin Cutaneous Melanoma; UCS, Uterine Carcinoma; THYM, Thymoma; UCEC, Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma; UCS, Uterine Carcinosarcoma).(**A**)The expression of TPM2 mRNA in breast cancer tissues (n=112) was significantly decreased compared with anierd para-cancerous tissues. (\*\*\* p<0.001). (**B**)The expression of TPM2 mRNA in breast cancer (n=125) compared to normal tissues (n=18). (\*\*\* p<0.001).(**D**)The promoter methylation level of TPM2 in breast cancer tumors (n=793) was increased compared to normal tissues (n=97). (\*\*\* p<0.001).(**F**)The Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of TPM2 expression was associated with poor RFS. (\*\*\* p<0.001). (AUC, area under the curves; CI, Confidence Interval). (**F**)The Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed that low TPM2 expression was associated with poor RFS. (\*\*\* p<0.001). (AUC, area under the curves; CI, Confidence Interval). (**F**)The Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed that low TPM

breast tissues, TPM2 was strongly expressed; and in breast cancer tissues, TPM2 was slightly to moderately expressed (Figure 2). TPM2 expression is obviously down-regulated in breast cancer tissues (Figure 3A). The ROC curve analysis indicated that the TPM2 expression could distinguish the breast cancer tissues and normal breast tissues well (Figure 3B).

Moreover, we conducted correlation analysis between TPM2 expression level and common clinicopathological parameters, such as histological grading, tumor size, lymph node metastases (LNM), and so on in Table 1. The expression of TPM2 was irrelevant to age, tumor size, HER2 status, and the molecular subtypes. Among the patients without LNM, 28 cases (31.5%) were low expression of TPM2, in patients with LNM, 47 cases (71.2%) were low expression. These results indicated that the TPM2 expression level was lower in breast cancer tissues with LNM (Figure 3C). The ROC curve showed the value of TPM2 expression in discriminating between LNM negative and LNM positive breast cancer tissues (Figure 3D). According to histological grading, there were 93 patients in grade I+ II (low histological grade) and 62 patients in grade III (high histological grade). In low histological grade patients, 36 patients (38.7%) were low expression level was significantly lower in high histological-grade patients than in



Figure 2 Immunohistochemistry staining of TPM2 in breast samples (magnification  $\times 100$ ).(A)The high expression of TPM2 in normal breast tissues.(B)The moderate expression of TPM2 in breast cancer tissues.(C)The low expression of TPM2 in breast cancer tissues.



Figure 3 The expression of TPM2 in breast cancer was evaluated by immunohistochemical staining and grading.(A)The expression level of TPM2 in breast cancer tissues was significantly lower than that in normal breast tissues. (\*\*\* p<0.001).(A)ROC curve of TPM2 in normal breast tissues and breast cancers. AUC value of TPM2 in the diagnostic value of breast cancer was up to 0.7572 (95% CI 0.6797-0.8346, P <0.0001). (AUC, area under the curves; CI, Confidence Interval).(B)The expression of TPM2 was associated with lymph node metastases. (\*\*\* p<0.001).(C)ROC curve of TPM2 in predicting lymph node metastasis in breast cancer. The AUC value for TPM2 expression of TPM2 was associated with lymph node metastases. (\*\*\* p<0.001). (AUC, area under the curves; CI, Confidence Interval) (D)The expression of TPM2 was associated with histological grade. (\* p<0.05).(F)ROC curve of TPM2 in distinguishing histological grades. The AUC value for TPM2 expression was 0.5989 (95% CI, 0.5099-0.6878, P <0.001). (AUC, area under the curves; CI, Confidence Interval).

Variables	Total	TPM2	P Value	
		Low	High	
Age				
≥60	48	23 (47.9)	25 (52.1)	>0.9999
<60	107	52 (48.6)	55 (51.4)	
Tumor size(cm)				
<2	66	26 (39.4)	40 (60.6)	0.1160
2–5	86	48 (55.8)	38 (44.2)	
>5	3	l (33.3)	2 (66.7)	
Lymph node metastases				
Negative	89	28 (31.5)	61 (68.5)	<0.001
Positive	66	47 (71.2)	19 (28.8)	
Histological grading				
I+II	93	36 (38.7)	57 (61.3)	0.0084
III	62	38 (61.3)	24 (38.7)	
Molecular subtypes				
Luminal A	23	8 (34.8)	15 (65.2)	0.5366
Luminal B	108	55 (50.9)	53 (49.I)	
HER2-enriched	11	6 (54.5)	5 (45.5)	
Triple negative	13	6 (46.2)	7 (53.8)	
Ki67 (%)				
< 4	24	8 (33.3)	16 (66,7)	0.1242
≥ 4	131	67 (51.1)	64 (48.9)	
HER2				
0	27	10 (37.0)	17 (63.0)	0.2473
I+	40	17 (42.5)	23 (57.5)	
2+	58	31 (53.4)	27 (46.6)	
3+	30	18 (60.0)	12 (40.0)	

Table	I	The	Association	Between	TPM2	and	Clinicopathological
Parame	ter	s					

**Notes**: the italicized and bold text (<0.001, 0.0084) indicates statistically significant. **Abbreviation**: HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.

low histological-grade patients (Figure 3E). However, the expression level of TPM2 had no meaning in distinguishing high histological-grade patients and low histological-grade patients (Figure 3F).

#### TPM2 Expression Was Associated with Important Signaling Pathways in Breast Cancer

In order to further explore the potential biological function of TPM2 in breast cancer, we conducted a functional enrichment analysis of TPM2 from the TCGA database. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were applied, and the samples of breast cancer were divided into high- and the low-TPM2 expression groups based on the median data. We selected 18 pathways from the GO biological function enrichment and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses, including extracellular structure organization, extracellular matrix organization, external encapsulating structure organization, collagen fibril organization, positive regulation of cell junction assembly, keratinization, cell junction assembly, regulation of membrane potential, cell aggregation, apoptotic process involved in development, ion channel complex, stress fiber, structural constituent of cytoskeleton, ECM–receptor interaction, focal adhesion, cAMP signaling pathway, estrogen signaling pathway, and Wnt signaling pathway (Figure 4A and Table 2). The GSEA analysis indicated 10 important signaling pathways, such as adaptive immune system, immunoregulatory interactions between a lymphoid and a nonlymphoid cell, cytokine signaling in immune system, regulation of actin cytoskeleton, pathways in cancer, Pi3k-akt signaling pathway, Wnt signaling, ECM proteoglycans, focal adhesion, extracellular matrix organization (Figure 4B and Table 3).



Figure 4 Biological function of TPM2 in breast cancer: ( $\mathbf{A}$ )GO and KEGG pathways were analyzed, and 18 pathways were selected. ( $\mathbf{B}$ )GSEA signaling pathways were analyzed, and 10 pathways were chosen. (NES, normalize enrichment score).

## The Correlation Analysis of TPM2 and Tumor Immune Infiltration in Breast Cancer

The above GSEA analysis indicated that TPM2 was strongly associated with tumor immunogenicity, so we further explored the association of TPM2 expression level with tumor immune infiltrating cells using TCGA database. The TPM2 expression was positively correlated with NK cells (r = 0.49, P<0.001), pDC (r = 0.268, P<0.001), iDC (r = 0.281, P<0.001) and macrophages (r = 0.250, P<0.001) (Figure 5A–E). The TPM2 expression was negatively associated with T helper cells (r = -0.298, P<0.001), Th2 cells (r = -0.263, P<0.001), and Tcm (r = -0.254, P<0.001) (Figure 5A and F–H). To investigate the relationship between TPM2 expression and immune infiltrating cells, we introduced the in vitro co-culture model. THP-1 monocytes were differentiated into M0 macrophages and polarized into M2 macrophages. The M2 macrophages were active macrophages and the M0 macrophages were inactive macrophages.<sup>28,29</sup> These macrophages were co-cultured with MCF-7 cells or MDA-MB-231

ONTOLOGY	ID	Description	GeneRatio	p.Adjust	Zscore	Count	Geneid
BP	GO:0043062	Extracellular structure organization	72/987	6.1757E-24	7.54247233	72	COLIIA2/COL9A3/KLK5/C6orf15/HASI/COL17A1/ COMP/EMILINI/GFAP/ANGPTL7/ADAMTSI6/MYH11/ PTX3/MMP23B/KLK4/ELN/LOXL4/COLIA1/COL8A2/ COL16A1/COL9A1/COL1IA1/AEBP1/CCN1/MMP14/ COL5A3/COL1A2/FOXC2/COL5A1/MMP11/CCN2/ ADAMTSI4/MMP20/MMP2/MMP10/DPT/COL10A1/ GAS6/FOXF2/COL3A1/FBLN2/ZNF469/SFRP2/MMP28/ EFEMP2/LOXL2/CTSK/MMP13/MIA/MMP27/MFAP4/ COL22A1/TPSAB1/BMP1/COL18A1/COL8A1/KLK7/ OLFML2B/COL5A2/LOXL1/MMP7/CTSG/PDPN/ ADAMTS7/BMP2/TLL2/ADAMTS2/LAMB3/ADAMTS19/ TEX14/PRSS1/MMP26
BP	GO:0030198	Extracellular matrix organization	71/987	1.4617E-23	7.71408078	71	COL11A2/COL9A3/KLK5/C6orf15/HAS1/COL17A1/ COMP/EMILIN1/GFAP/ANGPTL7/ADAMTS16/MYH11/ PTX3/MMP23B/KLK4/ELN/LOXL4/COL1A1/COL8A2/ COL16A1/COL9A1/COL11A1/AEBP1/CCN1/MMP14/ COL5A3/COL1A2/FOXC2/COL5A1/MMP11/CCN2/ ADAMTS14/MMP20/MMP2/MMP10/DPT/COL10A1/ GAS6/FOXF2/COL3A1/FBLN2/ZNF469/SFRP2/MMP28/ EFEMP2/LOXL2/CTSK/MMP13/MIA/MMP27/MFAP4/ COL22A1/TPSAB1/BMP1/COL18A1/COL8A1/KLK7/ OLFML2B/COL5A2/LOXL1/MMP7/CTSG/PDPN/ ADAMTS7/BMP2/TLL2/ADAMTS2/LAMB3/ADAMTS19/ PRSS1/MMP26
BP	GO:0045229	External encapsulating structure organization	71/987	I.836E-23	7.71408078	71	COLIIA2/COL9A3/KLK5/C6orf15/HAS1/COL17A1/ COMP/EMILINI/GFAP/ANGPTL7/ADAMTS16/MYH11/ PTX3/MMP23B/KLK4/ELN/LOXL4/COL1A1/COL8A2/ COL16A1/COL9A1/COL11A1/AEBP1/CCN1/MMP14/ COL5A3/COL1A2/FOXC2/COL5A1/MMP11/CCN2/ ADAMTS14/MMP20/MMP2/MMP10/DPT/COL10A1/ GAS6/FOXF2/COL3A1/FBLN2/ZNF469/SFRP2/MMP28/ EFEMP2/LOXL2/CTSK/MMP13/MIA/MMP27/MFAP4/ COL22A1/TPSAB1/BMP1/COL18A1/COL8A1/KLK7/ OLFML2B/COL5A2/LOXL1/MMP7/CTSG/PDPN/ ADAMTS7/BMP2/TLL2/ADAMTS2/LAMB3/ADAMTS19/ PRSS1/MMP26
BP	GO:0030199	Collagen fibril organization	24/987	2.3569E-12	4.89897949	24	COLIIA2/COMP/EMILINI/LOXL4/COLIAI/ COLIIAI/AEBPI/COL5A3/COLIA2/FOXC2/COL5AI/ MMPII/ADAMTS14/DPT/COL3AI/SFRP2/EFEMP2/ LOXL2/BMPI/COL5A2/LOXL1/ADAMTS7/TLL2/ ADAMTS2
BP	GO:1901890	Positive regulation of cell junction assembly	16/987	0.01060123	1.5	16	CLDN19/OXT/SYNDIG1/CLDN5/COL16A1/THBS2/ THY1/MYOC/SLITRK3/ADGRB1/ST8SIA2/NRXN1/ LRRTM3/ASIC2/SLITRK1/LRTM2
BP	GO:0031424	Keratinization	4/987	0.01175013	3.2071349	14	LCE1A/KRT1/KRT81/KLK5/KRT83/LORICRIN/KRT17/ KRT86/KRT75/LCE1C/KRT85/KRT71/LCE3A/KRT4
BP	GO:0034329	Cell junction assembly	40/987	0.01571355	1.26491106	40	CLDN 19/OXT/COL 17A 1/NPAS4/SYNDIG 1/CLDN5/ NR 1H4/POU4F1/COL 16A 1/MMP14/NRG 1/DNER/ FAM 107A/THBS2/CDH22/IGFN1/GAP43/THY 1/ NRXN2/MYOC/CLDN 11/SLITRK3/ADGRB1/ST8SIA2/ NRXN1/LHFPL4/ROBO2/CDHR3/PARD6B/GPM6A/ GABRA 1/SLIT1/LRRTM3/GHSR/ASIC2/SLITRK 1/ CHRNB2/CDH7/GABRG2/LRTM2

#### Table 2 The GO/KEGG Analysis of TPM2 in Breast Cancer

(Continued)

#### Table 2 (Continued).

ONTOLOGY	ID	Description	GeneRatio	p.Adjust	Zscore	Count	Geneid
BP	GO:0042391	Regulation of membrane potential	40/987	0.01818229	-1.5811388	40	CLDN19/SCN2B/NPAS4/DRD4/GLRA3/KCNH5/FZD9/ CACNA1G/KCNK17/FHL1/KCNA1/GABRA4/MYOC/ DCN/GRIN2A/NRXN1/GRIN2C/HCN2/CHRNA2/ KCNH6/CNR1/RIMS2/GLRA1/NTSR2/GABRA1/ CACNB2/ASIC2/KCNJ3/KCNC2/KCNK3/TRPC5/ SLC8A2/CHRNB2/INSYN2A/GABRG2/CHRNA9/
BP	GO:0098743		6/987	0.03507492	0	6	COLIIAI/CCN2/BMPI/BPIFAI/BMPRIB/FGF4
BP	GO:1902742	Apoptotic process involved in	8/987	0.04067603	0.70710678	8	CRYAB/CCN1/FOXC2/HAND2/SIX3/ROBO2/FGF4/ XKR7
сс	GO:0034702	lon channel complex	34/1042	0.00048078	-1.0289915	34	KCNMBI/TTYHI/SCN2B/GLRA3/DPP6/CACNAIG/ GRIA4/LRRC38/KCNAI/GABRA4/KCNGI/VWC2/ CACNG7/GRIN2A/GRIN2C/HCN2/CHRNA2/CNGB3/ GLRAI/OLFM3/GABRAI/CACNB2/GRIA2/KCNG4/ KCNJ3/KCNC2/UNC80/TRPC5/VWC2L/CHRNB2/ GABRG2/CHRNA9/KCNCI/GRIA1
сс	GO:0001725	Stress fiber	9/1042	0.06399549	3	9	ACTA I /MYH7/XIRP2/MYL9/ACTA2/PDLIM7/MYLK/ FAM107A/PDLIM4
MF	GO:0005200	Structural constituent of cytoskeleton	12/929	0.0574778	1.73205081	12	KRT I 4/ACTA I / DES/LORICRIN/KRT9/GFAP/NEFM/ SYNM/NEFL/POTEKP/ANK I / ACTL6B
KEGG	Hsa04512	ECM- receptor interaction	12/381	0.02720231	2.30940108	12	COL9A3/COMP/COL6A2/COL6A1/COL1A1/ COL9A1/COL1A2/ITGA11/THBS2/LAMB3/DSPP/VTN
KEGG	Hsa04510	Focal adhesion	20/381	0.03200542	4.02492236	20	MYL7/COL9A3/COMP/SHC4/COL6A2/FLNC/MYL9/ MYL2/COL6A1/COL1A1/COL9A1/COL1A2/MYLK/ ITGA11/THBS2/PAK5/LAMB3/PGF/VEGFD/VTN
KEGG	Hsa04024	cAMP signaling pathway	21/381	0.03451233	-0.6546537	21	OXT/MYL9/POMC/GABBR2/GRIA4/HTR1A/CALML3/ CAMK2A/GRIN2A/GRIN2C/NPY1R/HCN2/ADCY1/ CNGB3/SST/GHSR/GRIA2/CRH/HTR1E/CGA/GRIA1
KEGG	Hsa04915	Estrogen signaling pathway	15/381	0.03458971	1.29099445	15	KRT14/KRT13/KRT9/KRT34/KRT17/SHC4/POMC/ GABBR2/MMP2/CALML3/ESR1/ADCY1/GNAO1/ KRT28/KCNJ3
KEGG	Hsa04310	Wnt signaling pathway	16/381	0.08130232	4	16	WIF1/SFRP5/LGR5/FZD9/APCDD1L/FOSL1/NKD2/ NOTUM/SFRP2/SFRP4/SERPINF1/LGR6/WNT6/MMP7/ CAMK2A/WNT5B

Abbreviations: BP, Biological Process; CC, Cellular Component; MF, Molecular Function; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

cells. Our results showed that M2 macrophages upregulated the mRNA expression level of TPM2 in breast cancer cell lines (Figure 5I and J). These data were coordinated with the GSEA analysis data.

Furthermore, we analyzed the relationship between TPM2 expression level and 36 common immune checkpoint gene expression levels in breast cancer (Figure 6A). The expression of TPM2 was significantly correlated with TNFRSF4 (r = 0.433, P<0.001), CD276 (r = 0.386, P<0.001), CD200 (r = 0.349, P<0.001), and CD40 (r = 0.289, P<0.001) (Figure 6B–E). To sum up, TPM2 may affect the tumor immune response in breast cancer.

#### Discussion

TPM2 is located on the cell edge by interacting with focal adhesion proteins and plays a vital role in physiological function. It is mainly expressed in smooth muscle cells, skeletal muscle cells, and fibroblasts.<sup>30</sup> In malignant tumors, TPM2 may act as a tumor suppressor gene or a tumor promoter gene.<sup>23</sup> Previous research confirmed that TPM2 was

ID	Setsize	NES	p.Adjust	Core_enrichment
REACTOME_EXTRACELLULAR	70	6.25	I.175E-09	NCAN/COLIIA2/COL9A3/EMILIN3/COLI7AI/ACAN/COMP/
_MATRIX_ORGANIZATION				EMILIN1/COL7A1/ADAMTS16/KLK2/COL6A2/COL6A1/ELN/
				LOXL4/COLIAI/COL8A2/COLI6AI/COL9AI/COLIIAI/
				PCOLCE/ICAM5/HAPLN1/ASPN/MMP14/COL5A3/NCAM1/
				COLIA2/COL5A1/MMP11/ADAMTS14/MMP20/MMP2/
				MMP10/ITGA11/COL10A1/P4HA3/COL3A1/FBLN2/MFAP2/
				EFEMP2/LOXL2/CTSK/HTRA1/MMP13/MFAP4/COL22A1/
				GDF5/TPSAB1/BMP1/SPARC/COL18A1/FBN3/COL8A1/
				KLK7/COL5A2/LOXL1/BGN/MMP7/CTSG/DCN/BMP2/TLL2/
				ADAMTS2/LAMB3
KEGG_FOCAL_ADHESION	24	3.92	1.9948E-09	MYL7/COL11A2/COMP/SHC4/COL6A2/FLNC/MYL9/MYL2/
				COL6AI/COLIAI/COLIAI/COL5A3/COLIA2/COL5AI/MYLK/
				ITGAII/COL3AI/THBS2/PAK5/COL5A2/LAMB3/PGF/VEGFD
REACTOME_ECM_	21	3.71	2.6276E-08	NCAN/COL9A3/ACAN/COMP/COL6A2/COL6A1/COL1A1/
PROTEOGLYCANS				COL9AI/HAPLNI/ASPN/COL5A3/NCAMI/COL1A2/COL5AI/
				COL3A1/SPARC/COL5A2/BGN/DCN
WP_WNT_SIGNALING	13	3.04	4.1206E-06	WIF1/SFRP5/FZD9/FOSL1/NKD2/NOTUM/SFRP2/SFRP4/
				SERPINF1/PLAU/WNT6/CAMK2A/WNT5B
WP_PI3KAKT_SIGNALING_	24	3.14	5.219E-06	COL9A3/COMP/CSF3/COL6A2/NTF4/COL6A1/FGF21/COL1A1/
PATHWAY				COL9A1/FGF3/COL1A2/NGFR/ITGA11/THBS2/FGF1/NGF/
				LAMB3/PGF/FGF7/VEGFD
KEGG_PATHWAYS_IN_CANCER	17	2.50	0.00040276	KLK3/FGF16/FGF21/FZD9/FGF3/MMP2/FGF1/WNT6/BMP2/
				WNT5B/LAMB3/PGF/FGF7/VEGFD
KEGG_REGULATION_OF_	14	2.46	0.00043929	MYL7/FGF16/MYL9/MYL2/FGF21/FGF3/MYLK/ITGA11/
ACTIN_CYTOSKELETON				FGF1/PAK5
REACTOME_CYTOKINE_SIGNALING_	24	2.21	0.00268605	CRLF1/CSF3/POMC/LIF/NCAM1/COL1A2/S100B/MMP2/IL34/
IN_IMMUNE_SYSTEM				NDN/CTSG/EGR1/CAMK2A/TRIM29/JUNB/IFNG/H3C15/RAG2/
				IL9/IL21/IL36A/H3C14/H3C11
REACTOME_IMMUNOREGULATORY_	10	1.78	0.03544381	COLI7AI/COLIAI/ICAM5/COLIA2/COL3AI/CD300LG/
INTERACTIONS_BETWEEN_A_				KIR2DL3/IGHV3-13/KIR2DL4/IGHV2-70
LYMPHOID_AND_A_NON_				
LYMPHOID_CELL				
REACTOME_ADAPTIVE_	15	1.68	0.03659665	COLI7A1/MRC2/COLIA1/ICAM5/COLIA2/UBE2U/
IMMUNE_SYSTEM				COL3A1/CTSK

Table 3 The GSEA Analysis of TPM2 in Breast Cancer

down-regulated in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.<sup>31</sup> Also, TPM2 expression level declined in colon cancer, and TPM2 inhibited colon cancer cell proliferation and migration.<sup>32</sup> In bladder cancer cell, TPM2 promoted cell proliferation and was related to poor overall and disease-specific survival.<sup>33</sup> In ovarian cancer, TPM2 was obviously up-regulated.<sup>34</sup> In normal breast epithelial cells, TPM2 had a relative high expression level.<sup>5</sup> In this study, we analyzed the mRNA expression of TPM2 through TCGA database and found that TPM2 was down-regulated in 20 types of tumors, including breast cancer, and up-regulated in nine types of tumors. We collected breast cancer tissues and detected TPM2 expression by immunohistochemical staining. Our results showed that the expression of TPM2 was significantly decreased in breast cancer tissues, which was in accordance with the bioinformatics data. Furthermore, we analyzed the correlation between TPM2 expression level and clinicopathologic parameters. We defined that TPM2 expression was significantly correlated with lymph node metastases and histological grade. Through the Kaplan–Meier plotter online database, we found that patients with lower TPM2 expression had a poorer prognosis. TPM2 had a potential value for predicting prognosis in breast cancer.

Previous research has indicated that TPM2 regulates cell migration and aggregation in breast cancer.<sup>35</sup> Researchers also reported that TPM2 maintained microfilaments assembly and inhibited cancer cells growth.<sup>36–38</sup> In breast cancer cell lines,



Figure 5 Correlation analysis of TPM2 and immune infiltration in breast cancer. (A) Correlation analysis between TPM2 expression and 18 types of common TILs in breast cancer. (B-H) The correlation analysis between TPM2 expression and NK cells (B), pDC (C), iDC (D), macrophages (E), T helper cells (F), Th2 cells (G), Tcm (H) in breast cancer. (I-J) In vitro co-culture experiments indicated that M2 macrophages upregulated the TPM2 expression in MCF-7 cells (I) and MDA-MB-231 cells (J). (\* p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01).

silencing the expression of TPM2 inhibits the stress fiber formation, thereby promoting tumor transformation.<sup>39</sup> Under hypoxic conditions, TPM2 plays key functions in regulating cell migration and infiltration.<sup>40</sup> The above studies demonstrated that TPM2 plays important functions in breast cancer progression. In the present study, we analyzed the potential molecular



Figure 6 Correlation analysis between TPM2 expression and immune checkpoint molecules expression in breast cancer. (A) The diagram of correlation analysis between TPM2 and immune checkpoint molecules. (B-E) TPM2 was positively correlated with TNFRSF4 (B), CD276 (C), CD200 (D) and CD40 (E).

mechanism by bioinformatics analysis. Our bioinformatics data indicated TPM2 was involved in several important pathways, such as cAMP signaling pathway (Table 2 and Figure 4A), estrogen signaling pathway (Table 2 and Figure 4A), Wnt signaling pathway (Table 2 and Figure 4A), pathways in cancer (Table 3 and Figure 4B) and Pi3k-akt signaling pathway (Table 3 and Figure 4B). Additionally, TPM2 was related to extracellular structural organization, collagen fibril organization, cell junction assembly, cell aggregation, apoptotic process involved in development and adaptive immune system. Cytoskeletons are mainly organized by three types of proteins: actin, proteins forming intermediate filaments and tubulin, which play important functions in multiple cellular processes such as cell proliferation, migration, differentiation, and apoptosis.<sup>41–43</sup> Changes in the expression of actin-binding proteins could cause abnormal regulation of the actin cytoskeleton and may lead to tumor transformation.<sup>44</sup> As actin-binding proteins, TPMs play key roles in stabilizing actin filaments during actin assembly.<sup>45</sup> The down-regulation of TPMs led to cytoskeletal recombination and epithelial–mesenchymal transition in cancer progression.<sup>46</sup>

Nowadays immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) and immunotherapy are widely used in malignant tumor treatments, including breast cancer.<sup>47–49</sup> However, the ICIs cannot benefit every patient, and it may cause some serious immune-related damages under special conditions.<sup>50</sup> The anti-tumor immune response plays an indispensable role in the progression of breast cancer.<sup>51,52</sup> At present, researchers have recognized the importance of tumor immune microenvir-onment (TIME). To mitigate unnecessary drug toxicity, biomarkers that could predict immunotherapy response are

urgently needed. Our study indicates that TPM2 may affect tumor immuno-infiltration. We found that TPM2 expression was correlated with immune infiltrating cells, such as NK cells, pDC, iDC, macrophages, T helper cells, Th2 cells, and Tcm. Immune-infiltrating cells (ICCs), including NK cells, pDC, iDC, and macrophages, affected breast cancer progression and treatment.<sup>53</sup> NK cells can directly target and kill cancer cells without MHC molecule specificity, and play an important role in initiating adaptive immune response.<sup>54</sup> We also analyzed the relationship between TPM2 and 36 common immune checkpoint genes in breast cancer. The expression of TPM2 was significantly correlated with TNFRSF4, CD276, CD200, and CD40. These results suggest that TPM2 plays a major role in the tumor immune microenvironment of breast cancer, and the mechanism between them needs to be further explored.

#### Conclusion

In summary, TPM2 expression was significantly down-regulated in breast cancer. The expression level of TPM2 was related to lymph node metastasis, clinical prognosis, and immune infiltration of breast cancer. TPM2 exerted a suppressive effect on breast cancer occurrence and development. This study elucidated the potential value of TPM2 in breast cancer diagnosis, prognosis prediction, and immunotherapy.

# **Ethics Approval**

This research was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Second Hospital of Shandong University. The informed consents of patients have been obtained. Our study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### **Author Contributions**

All of the authors contributed to data analysis, drafting or revising the article, have agreed on the journal to which the article will be submitted, gave final approval of the version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

## Funding

This research was supported by the grant (NO. 81802646 to Hui Li) from the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

## Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no related conflict of interest. This research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationship that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, et al. Cancer statistics, 2021. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(1):7-33. doi:10.3322/caac.21654
- 2. Ganesh K, Massague J. Targeting metastatic cancer. Nat Med. 2021;27(1):34-44. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-01195-4
- 3. Welch DR, Hurst DR. Defining the hallmarks of metastasis. Cancer Res. 2019;79(12):3011-3027. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-0458
- 4. Wang FL, Wang Y, Wong WKet al. Two differentially expressed genes in normal human prostate tissue and in carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 1996;56 (16):3634–3637.
- 5. Franzen B, Linder S, Uryu K,et al. Expression of tropomyosin isoforms in benign and malignant human breast lesions. *Br J Cancer*. 1996;73 (7):909–913. doi:10.1038/bjc.1996.162
- 6. Hughes JA, Cooke-Yarborough CM, Chadwick NC, et al. High-molecular-weight tropomyosins localize to the contractile rings of dividing CNS cells but are absent from malignant pediatric and adult CNS tumors. *Glia*. 2003;42(1):25–35. doi:10.1002/glia.10174
- 7. Lin JJ, Warren KS, Wamboldt DDet al. Tropomyosin isoforms in nonmuscle cells. Int Rev Cytol. 1997;170:1-38.
- 8. Pittenger MF, Kazzaz JA, Helfman DM. Functional properties of non-muscle tropomyosin isoforms. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 1994;6(1):96–104. doi:10.1016/0955-0674(94)90122-8
- 9. Gunning P, O'Neill G, Hardeman E. Tropomyosin-based regulation of the actin cytoskeleton in time and space. *Physiol Rev.* 2008;88(1):1–35. doi:10.1152/physrev.00001.2007
- 10. O'Neill GM, Stehn J, Gunning PW. Tropomyosins as interpreters of the signalling environment to regulate the local cytoskeleton. *Semin Cancer Biol.* 2008;18(1):35–44. doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2007.08.004
- 11. Hitchcock-DeGregori SE, Barua B. Tropomyosin structure, function, and interactions: a dynamic regulator. Subcell Biochem. 2017;82:253-284.
- 12. Liu G, Zhao X, Zhou J, et al. Long non-coding RNA MEG3 suppresses the development of bladder urothelial carcinoma by regulating miR-96 and TPM1. *Cancer Biol Ther.* 2018;19(11):1039–1056. doi:10.1080/15384047.2018.1480279
- 13. Mao Y, He J-X, Zhu M, et al. Circ0001320 inhibits lung cancer cell growth and invasion by regulating TNFAIP1 and TPM1 expression through sponging miR-558. *Hum Cell*. 2021;34(2):468–477. doi:10.1007/s13577-020-00453-4

- 14. Lin J, Shen J, Yue H, et al. miRNA-183-5p.1 promotes the migration and invasion of gastric cancer AGS cells by targeting TPM1. Oncol Rep. 2019;42(6):2371-2381. doi:10.3892/or.2019.7354
- 15. Chen Y, Hong Z, Lu S, et al. Downregulated expression of tropomyosin 1 in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a predictor of recurrence and prognosis. *Med Sci Monit.* 2018;24:7875–7882. doi:10.12659/MSM.907340
- 16. Lam CY, Yip CW, Poon TCW, et al. Identification and characterization of tropomyosin 3 associated with granulin-epithelin precursor in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(7):e40324. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040324
- 17. Kim TM, Yim S-H, Shin S-H, et al. Clinical implication of recurrent copy number alterations in hepatocellular carcinoma and putative oncogenes in recurrent gains on 1q. Int J Cancer. 2008;123(12):2808–2815. doi:10.1002/ijc.23901
- Choi HS, Yim S-H, Xu H-D, et al. Tropomyosin3 overexpression and a potential link to epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *BMC Cancer*. 2010;10(1):122. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-10-122
- 19. Dube DK, Dube S, Abbott L, et al. Cloning, sequencing, and the expression of the elusive sarcomeric TPM4 α isoform in humans. *Mol Biol Int*. 2016;2016:3105478. doi:10.1155/2016/3105478
- 20. Li L, Ye T, Zhang Q, et al. The expression and clinical significance of TPM4 in hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Med Sci. 2021;18(1):169–175. doi:10.7150/ijms.49906
- 21. Yang R, Zheng G, Ren D, et al. The clinical significance and biological function of tropomyosin 4 in colon cancer. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2018;101:1–7. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018.01.166
- 22. Ma RN, Mabuchi K, Li J, et al. Cooperation between the two heads of smooth muscle myosin is essential for full activation of the motor function by phosphorylation. *Biochemistry*. 2013;52(36):6240–6248. doi:10.1021/bi400554s
- Ma Y, Xiao T, Xu Q, et al. iTRAQ-based quantitative analysis of cancer-derived secretory proteome reveals TPM2 as a potential diagnostic biomarker of colorectal cancer. Front Med. 2016;10(3):278–285. doi:10.1007/s11684-016-0453-z
- Zhou X, Zhang J, Yun H, et al. Alterations of biomarker profiles after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer: tumor heterogeneity should be taken into consideration. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(34):36894–36902. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.5050
- Allison KH, Hammond MEH, Dowsett M, et al. Estrogen and progesterone receptor testing in breast cancer: ASCO/CAP guideline update. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(12):1346–1366. doi:10.1200/JCO.19.02309
- 26. Wolff AC, Somerfield MR, Dowsett M, et al. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer: asco-college of American pathologists guideline update. J Clin Oncol. 2023;41(22):3867–3872. doi:10.1200/JCO.22.02864
- Tomczak K, Czerwinska P, Wiznerowicz M. The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA): an immeasurable source of knowledge. Contemp Oncol. 2015;19 (1A):A68–77. doi:10.5114/wo.2014.47136
- Genin M, Clement F, Fattaccioli A, et al. M1 and M2 macrophages derived from THP-1 cells differentially modulate the response of cancer cells to etoposide. BMC Cancer. 2015;15:577. doi:10.1186/s12885-015-1546-9
- 29. Bosshart H, Heinzelmann M. THP-1 cells as a model for human monocytes. Ann Transl Med. 2016;4(21):438. doi:10.21037/atm.2016.08.53
- Atherton P, Stutchbury B, Jethwa D, et al. Mechanosensitive components of integrin adhesions: role of vinculin. *Exp Cell Res.* 2016;343(1):21–27. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2015.11.017
- 31. Zhang J, Wang K, Zhang J, et al. Using proteomic approach to identify tumor-associated proteins as biomarkers in human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *J Proteome Res.* 2011;10(6):2863–2872. doi:10.1021/pr200141c
- 32. Cui J, Cai Y, Hu Y, et al. Epigenetic silencing of TPM2 contributes to colorectal cancer progression upon RhoA activation. *Tumour Biol.* 2016;37 (9):12477–12483. doi:10.1007/s13277-016-5103-1
- 33. Yan Y, Li J, Ye M, et al. Tropomyosin is potential markers for the diagnosis and prognosis of bladder cancer. Dis Markers. 2022;2022:6936262. doi:10.1155/2022/6936262
- 34. Tang HY, Beer LA, Tanyi JL, et al. Protein isoform-specific validation defines multiple chloride intracellular channel and tropomyosin isoforms as serological biomarkers of ovarian cancer. J Proteomics. 2013;89:165–178. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2013.06.016
- 35. Shin H, Kim D, Helfman DM. Tropomyosin isoform Tpm2.1 regulates collective and amoeboid cell migration and cell aggregation in breast epithelial cells. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(56):95192–95205. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.19182
- 36. Mahadev K, Raval G, Bharadwaj S, et al. Suppression of the transformed phenotype of breast cancer by tropomyosin-1. *Exp Cell Res*. 2002;279 (1):40–51. doi:10.1006/excr.2002.5583
- 37. Prasad GL, Fuldner RA, Cooper HL. Expression of transduced tropomyosin 1 cDNA suppresses neoplastic growth of cells transformed by the ras oncogene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1993;90(15):7039–7043. doi:10.1073/pnas.90.15.7039
- 38. Prasad GL, Masuelli L, Raj MHG, et al. Suppression of src-induced transformed phenotype by expression of tropomyosin-1. *Oncogene*. 1999;18 (11):2027–2031. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1202264
- 39. Dube S, Thomas A, Abbott L, et al. Expression of tropomyosin 2 gene isoforms in human breast cancer cell lines. *Oncol Rep.* 2016;35 (6):3143–3150. doi:10.3892/or.2016.4732
- 40. Zhang J, Zhang J, Xu S, et al. Hypoxia-induced TPM2 methylation is associated with chemoresistance and poor prognosis in breast cancer. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* 2018;45(2):692–705. doi:10.1159/000487162
- 41. Pollard TD, Cooper JA. Actin, a central player in cell shape and movement. Science. 2009;326(5957):1208–1212. doi:10.1126/science.1175862
- 42. Fife CM, McCarroll JA, Kavallaris M. Movers and shakers: cell cytoskeleton in cancer metastasis. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2014;171(24):5507–5523. doi:10.1111/bph.12704
- 43. Hohmann T, Dehghani F. The cytoskeleton-a complex interacting meshwork. Cells. 2019;8(4):362. doi:10.3390/cells8040362
- 44. Li X, Wang J. Mechanical tumor microenvironment and transduction: cytoskeleton mediates cancer cell invasion and metastasis. *Int J Biol Sci.* 2020;16(12):2014–2028. doi:10.7150/ijbs.44943
- 45. Cooper JA. Actin dynamics: tropomyosin provides stability. Curr Biol. 2002;12(15):R523-5. doi:10.1016/S0960-9822(02)01028-X
- 46. Gervasi M, Bianchi-Smiraglia A, Cummings M, et al. JunB contributes to Id2 repression and the epithelial-mesenchymal transition in response to transforming growth factor-beta. J Cell Biol. 2012;196(5):589–603. doi:10.1083/jcb.201109045
- 47. Vassilakopoulos TP, Chatzidimitriou C, Asimakopoulos JV, et al. Immunotherapy in Hodgkin lymphoma: present status and future strategies. *Cancers*. 2019;11(8):1071. doi:10.3390/cancers11081071
- 48. Rocco D, Della Gravara L, Battiloro C, et al. The role of combination chemo-immunotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Expert Rev* Anticancer Ther. 2019;19(7):561–568. doi:10.1080/14737140.2019.1631800

- 49. Andre T, Shiu -K-K, Kim TW, et al. Pembrolizumab in microsatellite-instability-high advanced colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2020;383 (23):2207-2218. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2017699
- 50. Postow MA, Sidlow R, Hellmann MD. Immune-related adverse events associated with immune checkpoint blockade. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378 (2):158–168. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1703481
- 51. Foukakis T, Lövrot J, Matikas A, et al. Immune gene expression and response to chemotherapy in advanced breast cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2018;118 (4):480–488. doi:10.1038/bjc.2017.446
- 52. Law AMK, Lim E, Ormandy CJ, et al. The innate and adaptive infiltrating immune systems as targets for breast cancer immunotherapy. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2017;24(7):X1. doi:10.1530/ERC-16-0404e
- 53. Goff SL, Danforth DN. The role of immune cells in breast tissue and immunotherapy for the treatment of breast cancer. *Clin Breast Cancer*. 2021;21(1):e63–e73. doi:10.1016/j.clbc.2020.06.011
- 54. Ben-Shmuel A, Biber G, Barda-Saad M. Unleashing natural killer cells in the tumor microenvironment-the next generation of immunotherapy? *Front Immunol.* 2020;11:275. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.00275

**International Journal of General Medicine** 

#### **Dove**press

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of General Medicine is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on general and internal medicine, pathogenesis, epidemiology, diagnosis, monitoring and treatment protocols. The journal is characterized by the rapid reporting of reviews, original research and clinical studies across all disease areas. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-general-medicine-journal